## MODULE EVALUATION - STUDENT DATA

## Instructions

1. Each column (S1, S2, S3, etc...) represents one student. Enter that students' responses in the space below. Fo

- 2. If there are less than 16 students in the class, leave the remaining boxes blank. If there are more than 16 students in the class, complete a second tabulation sheet for the remaining students.
- 3. Leave any unanswered cells blank.
- 4. Write the students' comments in the space provided below.
- 5. 5- Strongly Agree (90-100% of the time)
- 4- Agree (75-89% of the time)
- 3- Neutral (65-74% of the time)
- 2- Disagree (50-64% of the time)
- 1- Strongly Disagree (0-49% of the time)

MODULE NUMBER: SOCI 203

MODULE TITLE INTRODUCTION TO SOCIOLOGY

**DATE:** August 27, 2012-October 21, 2012

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Earon Kavanagh

# of Respondent : 6 # of Enrolled Student: 7

: University Canada West

LOCATION: Melville, Campus

																TOTAL	POSSIBLE SCORE	OVERAL %	OVERALL SCORE 1-5
I. INSTRUCTOR	S1	S2	S3	S4	S	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	S12	S13 S	14 5	S15 S16	SCORE			
<ol> <li>Overall, my grade is a reasonable reflection of my effort and achievement in this course.</li> </ol>	4	5	5	5	5	5										29	30.00	97%	5
<ol><li>Overall, this instructor was engaging, prepared, supportive and helpful.</li></ol>	2	5	5	5	5	4										26	30.00	87%	4
3. Overall, I learned a great deal from this instructor.	3	5	5	5	5	5				4						28	30.00	93%	5
4. When approached, the instructor was accessible, supportive and knowledgable.	3	5	5	5	5	5										28	30.00	93%	5
5. The instructor helped me to apply theories and concepts from class to practical problems.	3	5	5	5	4	4								1		26	30.00	87%	4
6. The instructor provided adequate opportunities for questions and discussion during class time.	3	5	5	5	5	5										28	30.00	93%	5
7. The instructor was available to students outside of class the regularly scheduled class times.	3	5	5	5	4	5										27	30.00	90%	5
8. The instructor provided useful feedback on my progress in the course.	3	5	5	5	4	4										26	30.00	87%	4
9. The instructor stimulated my interest in the course.	2	5	5	5	5	5										27	30.00	90%	5
10. As the course progressed, the instructor showed how each topic fit into the course as a whole.	3	5	5	5	4	4										26	30.00	87%	4
11. Overall, the instructor's explanations were and understable.	3	5	5	5	4	5										27	30.00	90%	5
12. The instructor's use of teaching (e.g., myUCW, audio-visual presentations, Powerpoint presentations, email) was effective.	4	5	5	5	5	4										28	30.00	93%	5
13. The general climate in this course was tolerant of student's differing learning styles.	3	5	5	5	5	5										28	30.00	93%	5
14.Expectations for learning in this course were clearly communicated.	3	5	5	5	5	5										28	30.00	93%	5
15. Dicussions and assignments reflected a collaborative approach to teaching and learning.	3	5	5	5	4	4										26	30.00	87%	4
16. The evaluation methods used in this course were fair.	3	5	5	5	4	5										27	30.00	90%	5
17. The learning activities were well integrated into the course.	3	5	5	5	4	5										27	30.00	90%	5
18. There was close agreement between the stated course objectives and the material and concepts covered in this course.	2	5	5	5	5	4										26	30.00	87%	4
19. The requirements of the course (projects, papers, exams) were adequately explained.	4	5	5	5	5	5										29	30.00	97%	5
20. The physical facilities (e.g. classroom/lab space, structure, furnishing) provided for this course were appropriate.	3	5	1	5	4	5										23	30.00	77%	4
21. The course materials (e.g., readings lecture notes, in-class exercise) contributed to learning the subject matter.	4	5	5	5	5	5										29	30.00	97%	5
22. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course and given the number of hours per week I dedicated to this course, I am satisfied with my learning.	3	5	5	5	4	4										26	30.00	87%	4

## 23. How can we improve the course and our service to you?

S6.....No comments, everything is fine.

